HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO.18838 OF 1996

DATE:07.02.2007

Between:
P. Srinivas Reddy

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Chief Security Commissioner,
Railway Protection Force (R.P.F.),
South Central Railway, Rail Nilayam,
Secunderabad & two others.

.....RESPONDENTS

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO.18838 OF 1996

ORDER:

Counsel for the petitioner is not present.

In this Writ Petition, the petitioner sought the following substantive relief:

> "It is prayed that the Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction especially one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents herein to review the suspension period with effect from 19-6-1995 and enhance the subsistence allowance to the extent of 90% as permissible in the rules and further direct the respondents not to initiate any departmental proceedings till the finalisation of the criminal proceedings in Crime No.220/95 and further declare the action of the respondents as illegal, arbitrary, mala fide and contrary to the R.P.F. Rules, 1987 and violative of principles of natural justice and also violative of principles of natural justice and also violative of Articles 14, 16, 21 and 309 of the Constitution of India and further award the costs in the interest of justice and pass such other and further order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents submitted that initially, this Court granted stay of departmental proceedings on 20.09.1996 and the same was vacated on 16.2.1999. She further submitted that during the pendency of writ petition, the respondents went ahead with the departmental proceedings and the third respondent, who is the disciplinary authority, passed final order on 13.6.2001 whereby he imposed the penalty of reduction of pay by three stages for a period of one year with cumulative effect on the petitioner.

In view of the submission of the learned counsel for the respondents, the Writ Petition has become infructuous and hence, it is dismissed accordingly. No costs.

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY, J.

07th FEBRUARY, 2007.
Tsr